1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
6		
7 8 9	LEON D. CURRIE, Petitioner,	CASE NO. C13-5904 BHS ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
10 11	v. PATRICK GLEBE,	AND RECOMMENDATION
12	Respondent.	
13	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R")	
14	of the Honorable J. Richard Creatura, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 4), and Leon	
15	D. Currie's ("Currie") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 5).	
16	Judge Creatura correctly found Currie's petition to be second or successive	
17	because Currie has filed two other habeas corpus petitions challenging this same	
18	conviction: C00-5484FDB/JKA and C06-5429RBL/KLS. See Dkt. 4. Due to the second	
19	or successive nature of the petition, Currie must seek authorization from the Ninth Circuit	
20	before filing a new petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Following Ninth Circuit Rule	
21		
22		

1	22-3(a), Judge Creatura properly recommended that Currie's petition be referred to the	
2	Ninth Circuit and the case be administratively closed without deciding whether the	
3	petition should be dismissed. Dkt. 4 at 2.	
4	The Court having considered the R&R, Plaintiff's objections, and the remaining	
5	record, does hereby find and order as follows:	
6	(1) The R&R is <b>ADOPTED</b> ;	
7	(2) This action is <b>TRANSFERRED</b> to the Ninth Circuit; and	
8	(3) The Clerk is directed to administratively close the case.	
9	Dated this 30th day of December, 2013.	
10	Land Comment	
11	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE	
12	United States District Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	<sup>1</sup> Ninth Circuit Rule 22-3(a) provides the following guidance regarding second or	
19		
20	2255	
21	Court of Appeals. No filing fee is required. If a second or successive petition or motion, or application for leave to file such a petition or motion, is mistakenly	
22	submitted to the district court, the district court shall refer it to the court of appeals.	